

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/668,781	09/22/2003	Syed F.A. Hossainy	50623.333	3294
7590 12/14/2005			EXAMINER	
Paul J. Meyer, Jr.			HO, UYEN T	
Squire, Sanders & Dempsey L.L.P. Suite 300			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1 Maritime Plaza			3731	
San Francisco, CA 94111			DATE MAILED: 12/14/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Thu				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/668,781	HOSSAINY ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	(Jackie) Tan-Uyen T. Ho	3731				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	B DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rep ited will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH atute, cause the application to become ABAI	ATION. ly be timely filed HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02	2 December 2005.					
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 38 and 40-43 is/are pending in the	application.					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>38 and 40-43</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a	accepted or b) objected to by	the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to t	the drawing(s) be held in abeyance	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr	rection is required if the drawing(s)) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached (Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•				
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	ign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).				
 Certified copies of the priority docume 	ents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority docume	• •					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the p	•	eceived in this National Stage				
application from the International Bur						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a l	ist of the certified copies not re	eceived.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sur	mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date				
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/ 	08) 5) Notice of Info	rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/668,781 Page 2

Art Unit: 3731

1. The indicated allowability of claim 39 is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered

reference(s) to Berg et al. (6,074,416). Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) follow.

2. Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last Office

action is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the

basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on

sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

4. Claims 38, 40, 41 and 43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by

Berg et al. (6,074,416). Berg et al. disclose a stent-graft including a body having a first end, a

second end and a middle segment, a plurality of polymeric strips (col. 4, lines 39-49, member 40,

44 with polymeric coating) circumferentially spaced from each other around the body of the

stent-graft and the stent-graft being coated with drug (col. 3, line 65 to col. 4, line 6).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the

manner in which the invention was made.

6.

it Offic. 3731

Page 3

alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Berg et al. '416. Although, Berg et al.

Claims 38, 40-43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the

discloses the frame including wires (40, 44) being made from nitinol and coated with polymeric

material, Berg suggest the frame can be made from polymeric material (col. 3, lines 40-52) and

teach that the nitinol wire need to be coated with polymeric material to reduce abrasion of body

organ (col. 4, lines 39-49). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in

the art at the time the invention was made to made the wires/strips of Berg et al.'s device from

polymeric material in order to reduce abrasion of body organ.

Regarding to claims 41-42, the bioabsorbable materials as claimed are well known

material in the art for making endovascular implant so that to reduce an extra procedure for

removing the implant after the treatment. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having

ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make Berg et al.'s device from

bioabsorbable materials as claimed in order to reduce an extra procedure for removing the

implant after the treatment.

Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment filed 2/22/05 necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection

presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37

CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this

final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to (Jackie) Tan-Uyen T. Ho whose telephone number is 571-272-4696. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, AnhTuan Nguyen can be reached on 571-272-4963. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

(Jackie) Tan-Uyen T Ho

James Pullete

Examiner Art Unit 3731